## Problem Set 3

• This problem set is due on November 18, 2020 before the class.

• No collaboration among the students allowed. Any two or more identical or nearly-identical solutions will automatically receive zero points each.

1. (Minimax Lower Bound for the Uniform Location Family) In this problem, we will show that the minimax rate of estimation for the parameter of a uniform distribution (in squared error) scales as  $\frac{1}{n^2}$ . In particular, assume that  $X_i \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \text{Uni}(\theta, \theta +$ 1). Let  $X_{(1)} = \min_i \{X_i\}$  denote the first order statistic.

(a) Prove that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\theta}[(X_{(1)} - \theta)^2] = \frac{2}{(n+1)(n+2)}.$$

(b) Using Le Cam's two point method, show that the minimax rate for estimation of  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$  for the uniform family  $\mathcal{U} = \{ \text{Uni}(\theta, \theta + 1) : \theta \in \mathbb{R} \}$  in squared error has lower bound  $\frac{c}{n^2}$ , where c is a numerical constant.

2. (KL Divergence and Differential Privacy) In this problem, we explore estimation under a constraint known as differential privacy. The conclusion from this problem will be used in the next problem on detecting drug abuse with private data. In one version of private estimation, the collector of data is not trusted, so instead of seeing true data  $X_i \in \mathcal{X}$  only a disguised version  $Z_i \in \mathcal{Z}$  is viewed, where given X = x, we have  $Z \sim Q(\cdot|X = x)$ . We say that this  $Z_i$  is differentially private if for any subset  $A \subset \mathcal{Z}$  and any pair  $x, x' \in \mathcal{X}$ ,

$$\frac{Q(Z \in A | X = x)}{Q(Z \in A | X = x')} \le \exp(\alpha).$$
(1)

The intuition here, from a privacy standpoint, is that no matter what the true data X is, any points x and x' are essentially equally likely to have generated the observed signal Z. We explore a few consequences of differential privacy in this question, including socalled quantitative data processing inequalities. We assume that  $\alpha < 1$  for simplicity.

First, we show how differential privacy acts as a contraction on probability distributions. Let  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  be arbitrary distributions on  $\mathcal{X}$  (with densities  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  w.r.t. a base measure  $\mu$ ) and define the *marginal* distributions

$$M_i(Z \in A) := \int_{\mathcal{X}} Q(Z \in A | X = x) p_i(x) d\mu(x), \quad i \in \{1, 2\}.$$

We will prove that there is a universal (numerical) constant  $C < \infty$  such that for any  $P_1, P_2$ ,

$$D(M_1||M_2) + D(M_2||M_1) \le C(e^{\alpha} - 1)^2 ||P_1 - P_2||^2.$$
(2)

(a) Show that for any a, b > 0

$$\left|\ln\frac{a}{b}\right| \le \frac{|a-b|}{\min\{a,b\}}.$$

(b) Use the shorthands q(z|x) = Q(Z = z|X = x) and  $m_i(z) = \int q(z|x)p_i(x)dx$ . Show that there exists a universal constant  $c < \infty$  such that

$$|m_1(z) - m_2(z)| \le c(e^{\alpha} - 1) \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} q(z|x) ||P_1 - P_2||_{\mathrm{TV}}.$$

(c) Combining parts (a) and (b), prove inequality (2).

3. (Application of Le Cam's Method to Detecting Drug Abuse) In this problem, we apply the results of the previous exercise to a problem of estimation of drug abuse. Assume we interview a series of individuals i = 1, 2, ..., n, asking each whether he or she takes illicit drugs. Let  $X_i \in \{0, 1\}$  be 1 if person *i* uses drugs, 0 otherwise, and define  $\theta^* = \mathbb{E}[X] = \mathbb{E}[X_i] = P(X = 1)$ . To avoid answer bias, each answer  $X_i$  is perturbed by some channel Q, where Q is  $\alpha$ -differentially private (recall the definition in Eqn. (1)). That is, we observe independent  $Z_i$  where conditional on  $X_i$ , we have

$$Z_i | X_i = x \sim Q(\cdot | X_i = x).$$

To make sure everyone feels suitably private, we assume  $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$  (so that  $(e^{\alpha}-1)^2 \leq 2\alpha^2$ ). In the questions, let  $\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha}$  denote the family of all  $\alpha$ -differentially private channels, and let  $\mathcal{P}$  denote the Bernoulli distributions with parameter  $\theta(P) = \mathbb{P}(X_i = 1) \in [0, 1]$  for  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ .

(a) Use Le Cam's method and the strong data processing inequality to show that the minimax rate for estimation of the proportion  $\theta^*$  in absolute value satisfies

$$\mathcal{M}_n := \inf_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_\alpha} \inf_{\hat{\theta}} \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{E}(|\hat{\theta}(Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_n) - \theta(P)|) \ge c \frac{1}{\sqrt{n\alpha^2}}.$$

(b) Give a rate-optimal estimator for this problem. That is, define a channel Q that is  $\alpha$ -differentially private and an estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  such that  $\mathbb{E}[|\hat{\theta}(Z^n) - \theta|] \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{n\alpha^2}}$ , where C > 0 is a universal constant.

(c) Download the dataset at http://web.stanford.edu/class/stats311/Data/drugs.txt, which consists of a sample of 100,000 hospital admissions and whether the patient was

abusing drugs (a 1 indicates abuse, 0 no abuse). Use your estimator from part (b) to estimate the population proportion of drug abusers: give an estimated number of users for  $\alpha \in \{2^{-k}, k = 1, 2, ..., 10\}$ . Perform each experiment several times. Assuming that the proportion of users in the dataset is the true population proportion, how accurate is your estimator?

4. (Fundamental Limits of Sign Identification in Sparse Linear Regression) In sparse linear regression, we have *n* observations  $Y_i = \langle X_i, \theta^* \rangle + \epsilon_i$ , where  $X_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$  are known (fixed) vectors and the vector  $\theta^*$  has a small number  $k \ll d$  of non-zero entries, and  $\epsilon_i \sim \mathsf{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ . In this problem, we investigate the problem of sign recovery, that is, identifying the vector of signs  $\mathsf{sign}(\theta_j^*), \forall j$ , where  $\mathsf{sign}(0) = 0$ .

Assume we have the following process: fix a signal threshold  $\theta_{\min} > 0$ . First, a vector  $S \in \{-1, 0, +1\}^d$  is chosen uniformly at random from the set of vectors  $S_k \equiv \{s \in \{-1, 0, +1\}^d : ||s||_1 = k\}, k \ge 2$ . Then we define the vectors  $\theta^s$  so that  $\theta_j^s = \theta_{\min}s_j$ , and conditional on S = s, we observe

$$Y = X\theta^s + \epsilon, \quad \epsilon \sim \mathsf{N}(0, \sigma^2 I_{n \times n}).$$

(Here  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  is a known fixed matrix.)

(a) Use Fano's inequality to show that for any estimator  $\hat{S}$  of S, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\hat{S} \neq S) \ge \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{unless} \quad n \ge \frac{\frac{d}{k} \ln \binom{d}{k}}{||n^{-1/2}X||_{\mathsf{Fr}}^2} \frac{\sigma^2}{\theta_{\min}^2}$$

(b) Assume that  $X \in \{-1, +1\}^{n \times d}$ . Give a lower bound on how large *n* must be for sign recovery. Give a one line interpretation of the quantity  $\frac{\theta_{\min}^2}{\sigma^2}$ .

5. (VC-dimension of Polynomials) In this exercise we will find the VC dimensions of the set of all polynomials of degree at most d. Let  $\mathcal{H}_d$  denote the set of all polynomials of degree at most d with real coefficients. A polynomial  $p : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  classifies the point x to +1 if  $p(x) \geq 0$ , or to the class -1 otherwise.

Recall the fundamental theorem of algebra which says that a polynomial of degree at most d defined over  $\mathbb{R}$  has at most d real roots.

(a) Show that any polynomial  $p \in \mathcal{H}_d$  can have at most d sign changes over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(b) Show that there exists a set S of real numbers with cardinality d + 1 which can be shattered by  $\mathcal{H}_d$ .

(c) Use (a) to show that no set S of real numbers with cardinality d+2 can be shattered by  $\mathcal{H}_d$ .

(b) and (c) taken together proves that the VC dimension of  $\mathcal{H}_d$  is d+1.

6. (VC-dimension of Boolean Conjunctions) Let  $\mathcal{H}^d_{con}$  be the class of Boolean conjunctions over the variables  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_d, (d \ge 2)$ . In this problem we calculate the  $\operatorname{VCdim}(\mathcal{H}^d_{con})$ .

- Show that  $|\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{con}}^d| \leq 3^d + 1$ .
- Conclude that  $\operatorname{VCdim}(\mathcal{H}^d_{\operatorname{con}}) \leq d\log 3$ .
- Show that  $\mathcal{H}^d_{con}$  shatters the set of unit vectors  $\{e_i : i \leq d\}$ .